UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,498	09/29/2006	Kevin Taylor	CCCI 0140 PUSA	9858
50764 7590 08/28/2008 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.			EXAMINER	
1000 TOWN C			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/599,498	TAYLOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Justin E. Shepard	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
· · · <u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	• , ,	` ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

5);

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 8, 12, and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Addington.

Referring to claim 1, Addington discloses a method of provisioning a set-top box (STB) with a STB provisioning system including a service provisioning system, a billing system, a conditional access system, a video device manager, and a provisioning datastore (figure 19), the method comprising:

storing STB profile information in the provisioning datastore (paragraph 236); receiving a customer order at the service provisioning system (figure 20A; steps 4 and 5);

notifying the billing system of the customer order (paragraph 236); notifying the conditional access system of the customer order (figure 20A, step

storing information from the customer order in the provisioning datastore (paragraph 236);

Page 3

notifying the video device manager about the STB (paragraph 236); and delivering a cable operator configuration message from the video device manager to the STB (figure 20B, step 19), the configuration message being based on information from the provisioning datastore, thereby provisioning the STB without essential involvement of the conditional access system (figure 20B, step 11).

Referring to claim 6, Addington discloses a method of claim 1 wherein notifying the conditional access system of the customer order is performed by the billing system (figure 20A, step 5).

Referring to claim 7, Addington discloses a method of claim 1 wherein notifying the conditional access system of the customer order is performed by the service provisioning system (figure 20A, step 5).

Referring to claim 8, Addington discloses a method of claim 1 wherein the STB provisioning system further includes a data device manager, the method further comprising: notifying the data device manager about the STB (figure 20A, step 5).

Referring to claim 12, Addington discloses a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message is sent using bi-directional unicast messaging (paragraph 106).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 13, Addington discloses a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message is sent using uni-directional multicast messaging (paragraph 106).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington in view of Eshun.

Referring to claim 2, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 further comprising: storing STB certification information in the provisioning datastore.

In an analogous art, Eshun teaches a method of claim 1 further comprising: storing STB certification information in the provisioning datastore (paragraph 58).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the STB certificate taught by Eshun to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to enable the system to restrict access to specific information (Addington: paragraph 233).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington and Eshun as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Steenkamp.

Referring to claim 3, Addington and Eshun do not disclose a method of claim 2 further wherein the STB certification information is instantiated as an XML formatted document.

In an analogous art, Steenkamp teaches a method of claim 2 further wherein the STB certification information is instantiated as an XML formatted document (paragraph 123).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the XML message protocol taught by Steenkamp to the method disclosed by Addington and Eshun. The motivation would have been to use an available protocol to save on development costs.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington in view of Steenkamp.

Referring to claim 4, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 wherein the STB profile information is instantiated as an XML formatted document.

In an analogous art, Steenkamp teaches a method of claim 1 wherein the STB profile information is instantiated as an XML formatted document (paragraph 123).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the XML message protocol taught by Steenkamp to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to use an available protocol to save on development costs.

Claims 5 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington in view of Cochran.

Referring to claim 5, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 wherein the customer order is instantiated as an XML document.

In an analogous art, Cochran teaches a method of claim 1 wherein the customer order is instantiated as an XML document (column 11, line 65 to column 12, line 9).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the XML message protocol taught by Cochran to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to use an available protocol to save on development costs.

Referring to claim 9, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message to the STB is instantiated as an XML document.

In an analogous art, Cochran teaches a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message to the STB is instantiated as an XML document (column 11, line 65 to column 12, line 9).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the XML message protocol taught by Cochran to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to use an available protocol to save on development costs.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington in view of Jost.

Referring to claim 10, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message to the STB includes configuration of the STB geographical location.

In an analogous art, Jost teaches a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message to the STB includes configuration of the STB geographical location (column 9, line 64 to column 10, line 7).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for ordinary skill in the art to add the location message taught by Jost to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to enable the device to not be installed in areas where it would be prohibited.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington in view of Freeman.

Referring to claim 11, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message to the STB includes configuration to enable multiple channel lineups.

In an analogous art, Freeman teaches a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message to the STB includes configuration to enable multiple channel lineups (paragraph 54).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for ordinary skill in the art to add the multiple channel lineups taught by Freeman to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to enable more viewing options to be provided to the users.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addington in view of Rakib.

Referring to claim 14, Addington does not disclose a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message is sent in response to a boot-time request from the STB.

In an analogous art, Rakib teaches a method of claim 1 wherein the configuration message is sent in response to a boot-time request from the STB (paragraph 6).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to add the boot-time configuration taught by Rakib to the method disclosed by Addington. The motivation would have been to enable the device to be moved to another location and still function on the network.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin E. Shepard whose telephone number is (571) 272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,498 Page 9

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623